sued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended. Filing is mandatory.  _ocal Government Type:	Local Government	Name:		County	,
☐ City ☐ Township ☐ Village ☒ Other	Southeast Macomb			Macon	
Audit Date Opinion	Date	Da	ate Accountant R		
·	ber 3, 2004		December 30, 2004		
Ve have audited the financial statements of this low with the Statements of the Governmental Accounting the Statements of the Government in Michie Counties and Local Units of Government in Michie Ve affirm that:  . We have complied with the Bulletin for the Accounting the Statement of the Accounting that the Account	nting Standards Board ( Igan by the Michigan Department of Local Units of God to practice in Michigan have been disclosed in the below:  Igan by the Michigan Department of the local disclosed in the local d	GASB) and the Unpartment of Treasure overnment in Michigan.  The financial statement is unit are exclude an is unit are exclude and order issued under Act.	iform Reporting and as revised.  Ints, including the different the finance of fund balances/rand Budgeting Aper the Municipal F	Format for Fi	the report of commers.  s. ngs (P.A. 275 of 1980) 1968, as amended). its requirements, or
[MCL 129.91] or P.A. 55 or [MCL 129.91] or [MCL 129.	of 1982, as amended [M elinquent in distributing to the Constitutional requirent year. If the plan is not contributions are due cards and has not adopt	CL 38.1132]) tax revenues that we rement (Article 9, Semore than 100% fure (paid during the yeard an applicable po	ere collected for ection 24) to fund nded and the over). ear).	another taxir current year e erfunding cre	ng unit. Parned pension bene dits are more than f 1995 (MCL 129.24
We have enclosed the following:			Enclosed	To Be Forwarde	Not Required
The letter of comments and recommendations.					
Reports on individual federal assistance progran	ns (program audits).				
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).	, ,				
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name):	Plante & Mora	an, PLLC			
Street Address 2601 Cambridge Court, Suite 500		City Auburn Hills		State Michigan	ZIP 48326
Accountant Signature					
Accountant dignature					

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2004

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2-4
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	5
Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	6
Statement of Cash Flows	7
Notes to Financial Statements	8-12
Required Supplemental Information	
Employees' Retirement System Schedule of Funding Progress	13
Other Supplemental Information	
Schedule of Operating Expenses	14



#### Plante & Moran, PLLC



Suite 500 2601 Cambridge Court Auburn Hills, MI 48326 Tel: 248.375.7100 Fax: 248.375.7101 plantemoran.com

#### Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors
Southeast Macomb Sanitary District

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Southeast Macomb Sanitary District (the "District") as of June 30, 2004 and for the year then ended, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall basic financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Southeast Macomb Sanitary District at June 30, 2004 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis and employees' retirement system schedule of funding progress (identified in the table of contents) are not required parts of the basic financial statements but are supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the Southeast Macomb Sanitary District's basic financial statements. The accompanying other supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The other supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Plante + Moran, PLLC



#### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

#### **Using this Annual Report**

The Southeast Macomb Sanitary District (the "District") is a joint venture of three cities located in southeast Macomb County: Eastpointe, Roseville, and St. Clair Shores. The primary role of the District is to operate a sewage transportation system for these communities. This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The statement of net assets and the statement of revenue, expenses, and changes in net assets provide information about the financial activities of the District. This is followed by the statement of cash flows, which presents detailed information about the changes in the District's cash position during the year.

#### **Financial Overview**

In analyzing the District's financial position, it is important to recognize the mission of the District. From a financial perspective, the District's core objective is to manage the long-term costs of sewage disposal for its three member cities. In essence, the District acts as a conduit for its three members. Over time, the amounts to be charged to its members will be equal to the District's costs of providing sewage transportation and treatment and maintaining reserves for upgrading the efficiency of the system's infrastructure.

#### **Condensed Financial Information**

The following table presents condensed information about the District's financial position compared to the prior year. The most significant changes relate to the decrease in investments due to the additional investment in infrastructure during the current fiscal year. Even with the additional investment in infrastructure, net assets still increased by approximately \$513,000, which is consistent with management's conclusion that current reserve levels are sufficient to cover currently foreseen infrastructure needs.

TABLE I

		Year End	ed Ju	ine 30	Change			
	2004 2003		Amount		Percent			
Assets								
Current assets	\$	13,988,084	\$	15,968,997	\$	(1,980,913)	-12%	
Capital assets		6,215,395		2,945,462		3,269,933	111%	
Total assets		20,203,479		18,914,459		1,289,020	7%	
Liabilities								
Current liabilities		4,265,460		3,491,436		774,024	22%	
Accumulated employee benefits		60,064		57,905		2,159	4%	
Total liabilities		4,325,524		3,549,341		776,183	22%	
Net Assets								
Invested in capital assets - Net of debt		6,215,395		2,945,462		3,269,933	111%	
Unrestricted		9,662,560		12,419,656	_	(2,757,096)	-22%	
Total net assets	\$	15,877,955	\$	15,365,118	\$	512,837	3%	



### **Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)**

The following table presents condensed information about the District's revenues and expenses compared to the prior year. The most significant change relates to an increase in operation and maintenance costs, which escalated to 23 percent higher than 2003, due in large part to increased engineering costs. In addition, the District experienced significant increases in pension, hospitalization, and pump station repair costs. The increase in sewage treatment costs is consistent with the increase costs passed on by Wayne County.

TABLE 2

.,	Year Ende	ed June 30	Change		
	2004	2003	Amount	Percent	
Operating revenue - Treatment fees	\$ 13,426,488	\$ 12,412,401	\$1,014,087	8%	
Operating expenses:					
Cost of sewage disposal	12,148,486	11,305,396	843,090	7%	
Operation and maintenance	830,808	673,931	156,877	23%	
Administrative and other	130,141	129,829	312	-	
Total operating expenses	13,109,435	12,109,156	1,000,279	8%	
Other nonoperating revenue	195,784	294,443	(98,659)	-34%	
Net income	\$ 512,837	\$ 597,688	<u>\$ (84,851)</u>		

#### **Capital Asset and Infrastructure Planning**

During the current year, the District began two different capital improvement projects that will continue in the 2004-2005 fiscal year. These two projects will improve the efficiency of the system and ease stress on two major drains operated by the system, namely the Nine Mile Road Drain Cleaning and the Eleven Mile Road Sanitary Relief Drain. The cost of these projects is being paid for by current resources of the District.

#### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates**

The District plans to increase rates only to the extent necessary to cover anticipated rate increases passed on by Wayne County.

Thus, operating costs will be largely dependent on the volume of sewage flow. The District's budget for the year ending June 30, 2005 forecasts consistent volume in sewage flow and, therefore, costs.



### **Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)**

### **Contacting the District's Management**

This financial report is intended to provide our member cities with a general overview of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives from the member cities. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the District offices.



## Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2004

Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 3)	\$ 9,578,074
Investments (Note 3)	2,662,188
Accounts receivable	1,707,894
Accrued interest receivable	12,074
Prepaid insurance	27,854
Capital assets (Note 4)	6,215,395
Total assets	20,203,479
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	4,219,186
Retainage payable	34,000
Accrued payroll and related taxes	12,274
Accumulated employee benefits	60,064
Total liabilities	4,325,524
Net Assets	
Invested in capital assets	6,215,395
Unrestricted	9,662,560
Total net assets	\$ 15,877,955



## Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Year Ended June 30, 2004

Operating Revenue - Treatment fees	\$	13,426,488
Operating Expenses		
Cost of sewage disposal		12,148,486
System maintenance and operation		830,808
General and administrative		31,602
Depreciation		98,539
		_
Total operating expenses		13,109,435
Operating Income		317,053
Nonoperating Revenue - Interest income		195,784
Net Income		512,837
Net Assets - Beginning of year		15,365,118
Net Assets - End of year	<u>\$</u>	15,877,955



## Statement of Cash Flows Year Ended June 30, 2004

Cash Flows from Operating Activities	
Cash received from customers	\$ 13,363,911
Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services	(11,412,426)
Cash payments to employees for services	(807,106)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,144,379
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities	
Construction in progress	(3,355,512)
Purchase of fixed assets	(12,960)
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(3,368,472)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities	
Interest received on investments	195,784
Purchase of investments	(2,662,188)
Proceeds from sale and maturities of investments	4,440,159
Net cash provided by investing activities	1,973,755
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(250,338)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - July 1, 2003	9,828,412
Cash and Cash Equivalents - June 30, 2004	<u>\$ 9,578,074</u>
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash from Operating Activities	
Operating income	\$ 317,053
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash from	
operating activities:	
Depreciation expense	98,539
Changes in assets and liabilities:	
Increase in accounts receivable	(62,577)
Decrease in accrued interest and prepaid expenses	15,181
Increase in accounts and retainage payable	770,060
Increase in accrued payroll and other accruals	6,123
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,144,379



# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2004

#### Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

The Southeast Macomb Sanitary District (the "District") provides sewage disposal services to three participating municipalities in Macomb County, Michigan.

The District is governed by a three-member board appointed by the participating communities.

The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to an Enterprise Fund of a governmental unit. Accordingly, the accrual basis of accounting is followed by the District. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, there are no component units to be included in these financial statements.

**Fixed Assets** - Fixed assets are recorded at cost and are shown net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method.

**Cash Equivalents** - For the purpose of the statement of cash flows, the District considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents

**Investments** - Investments are recorded at fair value, based on quoted market prices.

Other accounting policies are disclosed in other notes to the financial statements.

### Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

**Noncompliance with Legal or Contractual Provisions** - The District has not currently adopted a formal policy to make and accept electronic fund transfers (ACH payments). A formal policy is required under Public Act 738.



# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2004

#### **Note 3 - Cash and Investments**

The District's deposits and investments, which are categorized on the statement of net assets as cash and investments, consist of the following:

	Cash and	Total Cash		
	Cash	and		
	<b>Equivalents</b>	Investments	Investments	
Bank deposits (checking accounts, money market accounts, and certificates of deposit) Petty cash and cash on hand	\$ 9,578,019 <u>55</u>	\$ 2,662,188 	\$12,240,207 55	
Total investments	\$ 9,578,074	\$ 2,662,188	<u>\$12,240,262</u>	

The District is authorized by Michigan Public Act 20 of 1943 (as amended) to invest surplus monies in U.S. bonds and notes, certain commercial paper, U.S. government repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances and mutual funds, and investment pools that are composed of authorized investment vehicles.

At June 30, 2004, the above deposits were reflected in the accounts of the bank (without recognition of checks written but not yet cleared or of deposits in transit) at approximately \$12,300,000. Of that amount, \$400,000 was covered by federal depository insurance and the balance was uninsured and uncollateralized.

The District believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all bank deposits. As a result, the District evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits District funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.



# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2004

#### **Note 4 - Capital Assets**

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2004 was as follows:

						Depreciable
	20	03	Additions		2004	Life - Years
Capital assets not being depreciated:						
Land	\$	79,243	\$ -	\$	79,243	_
Construction in progress	•	28,935	3,355,512	Ψ	3,584,447	
Capital assets being depreciated:		20,733	3,333,312		3,301,117	
Pump station	2 9	26,919	_		2,926,919	50
Interceptor		12,292	_		512,292	50
Nine Mile plant	,	37,887	_		37,887	15-30
Improvements	2	00,636	_		200,636	10-25
•		17,661	_		17,661	15
Paving and sewer Trucks and equipment		77,645	10 472		88,117	3
• •			10,472			5-10
Furniture and equipment	-	57,216	2,488	_	59,704	5-10
Subtotal	3,8	30,256	12,960		3,843,216	
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Pump station	(6	46,096)	(61,250)		(707,346)	
Interceptor	`	34,553)	(21,365)		(255,918)	
Nine Mile plant	`	30,793)	(1,992)		(32,785)	
Improvements		51,389)	(6,030)		(157,419)	
Paving and sewer	`	17,661)	-		(17,661)	
Trucks and equipment		64,156)	(3,893)		(68,049)	
Furniture and equipment		48,324)	(4,009)		(52,333)	
r armeare and equipment		<u>,</u> )	(1,007)	_	(32,333)	
Subtotal	(1,1	92,972)	(98,539)	_	(1,291,511)	
Net capital assets being depreciated	2,6	37,284	(85,579)		2,551,705	
Total capital assets -						
Net of depreciation	\$ 2,9	45,462	\$ 3,269,933	\$	6,215,395	

#### Note 5 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan

**Plan Description** - The District has an agent defined benefit pension plan that is administered by an independent insurance company and covers substantially all employees. The plan provides retirement and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries.

**Funding Policy** - The funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions of actuarially determined amounts that are intended to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due.



# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2004

#### Note 5 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Annual Pension Cost - For the year ended June 30, 2004, the District's annual pension cost of \$104,738 for the plan was equal to the District's required and actual contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at June 30, 2004, using the individual aggregate actuarial funding method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) a 6 percent investment rate of return and (b) projected salary increases of 6 percent per year. The actuarial value of assets was determined using fair market value.

Three-year trend information is as follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30							
	2002			2003	2004			
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$	70 040	<b>¢</b>	58,548	¢	104 738		
, ,	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ			
Percentage of APC contributed		100		100		100		
Net pension obligation	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_		

#### **Note 6 - Postemployment Benefits**

The District provides health care benefits to all full-time employees upon retirement. Currently, eight retirees are receiving benefits. The District includes retirees and their dependents in its insured health care plan, with no contribution required by the participants. The total expense for postemployment health care benefits, which is recognized as insurance premiums become due, amounted to approximately \$35,000 for the year ended June 30, 2004.

**Upcoming Reporting Change** - The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has recently released Statement Number 45, *Accounting and Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The new pronouncement provides guidance for local units of government in recognizing the cost of retiree health care, as well as any "other" postemployment benefits (other than pensions). The new rules will cause the government-wide financial statements to recognize the cost of providing retiree health care coverage over the working life of the employee, rather than at the time the health care premiums are paid. The new pronouncement is effective for the year beginning July 1, 2007.



# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2004

#### **Note 7 - Risk Management**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The District has purchased commercial insurance for medical and life insurance claims, and participates in the Michigan Municipal League risk pool for claims relating to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation). Settled claims relating to the commercial insurance have not exceeded the amount of insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The Michigan Municipal League risk pool program operates as a common risk-sharing management program for local units of government in Michigan; member premiums are used to purchase commercial excess insurance coverage and to pay member claims in excess of deductible amounts.



# **Required Supplemental Information**



## Employees' Retirement System Schedule of Funding Progress June 30, 2004

												(6)
											Unf	unded
		(1)		(2)							PB	O as a
	Ν	et Assets	ı	Pension		(3)		(4)		(5)	Perce	ntage of
	A	Available		Benefit	I	Percent	ı	Unfunded		Annual	Co	vered
As of		for	0	bligation	1	Funded		PBO	O Covered		Pa	yroll
June 30	ı	Benefits		(PBO)		(I)÷(2)	(2)-(1)			Payroll	$(4) \div (5)$	
_												
1994	\$	656,648	\$	659,755		99.53	\$	3,107	\$	301,934		1.03
1995		766,636		866,646		88.46		100,010		339,664		29.44
1996		838,573		953,307		87.96		114,734		301,324		38.08
1997		666,089		877,846		75.88		211,757		283,670		74.65
1998		249,124		289,160		86.15		40,036		270,977		14.77
1999		322,156		390,137		82.58		67,981		283,531		23.98
2000		341,316		468,637		72.83		127,321		331,778		38.38
2001		425,359		642,796		66.17		217,437		367,209		59.21
2002		508,368		689,752		73.70		181,384		284,931		63.66
2004*		506,143		927,973		54.54		421,830		353,845		119.21



<sup>\*</sup> No actuarial valuation was completed as of June 30, 2003.

# **Other Supplemental Information**



## Schedule of Operating Expenses Year Ended June 30, 2004

System Maintenance and Operation		
Salaries and wages	\$	388,255
Pension expense		104,738
Payroll taxes		26,908
Hospitalization		110,830
General insurance		56,867
Life insurance		1,092
Dental and optical insurance		1,174
Repairs and replacement		65,866
Telephone		4,831
Utilities		6,855
Office supplies and expense		4,167
Fuel and oil		5,817
Engineering		50,802
Miscellaneous expenses		2,606
Total system maintenance and operation	<u>\$</u>	830,808
General and Administrative		
Board meetings	\$	2,520
Professional fees		25,899
Miscellaneous expense		3,183
Total general and administrative	\$	31,602





Suite 500 2601 Cambridge Court Auburn Hills, MI 48326 Tel: 248.375.7100 Fax: 248.375.7101 plantemoran.com

**December 30, 2004** 

To the Board Members Southeast Macomb Sanitary District 2000 I Pleasant Avenue St. Clair Shores, MI 48080

#### Dear Board Members:

We have recently completed our audit of the financial statements of the Southeast Macomb Sanitary District (the "District") for the year ended June 30, 2004. The purpose of this communication is to provide you with additional information regarding the scope and results of our audit that may assist you with your oversight responsibilities of the financial reporting process for which management is responsible. This report is intended solely for the use of the Board Members and others within the organization.

## Auditor's Responsibility Under Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America

We conducted our audit of the financial statements of the Southeast Macomb Sanitary District in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. The following paragraphs explain our responsibilities under those standards.

Management has the responsibility for adopting sound accounting policies, for maintaining an adequate and effective system of accounts, for the safeguarding of assets, and for devising internal controls that will, among other things, help assure the proper recording of transactions. The transactions that should be reflected in the accounts and in the financial statements are matters within the direct knowledge and control of management. Our knowledge of such transactions is limited to that acquired through our audit. Accordingly, the fairness of representations made through the financial statements is an implicit and integral part of management's responsibility. We may make suggestions as to the form or content of the financial statements or even draft them, in whole or in part, based on management's accounts and records. However, our responsibility for the financial statements is confined to the expression of an opinion on them. The financial statements remain the representations of management.

The concept of materiality is inherent in the work of an independent auditor. An auditor places greater emphasis on those items that have, on a relative basis, more importance to the financial statements and greater possibilities of material error than with those items of lesser importance or those in which the possibility of material error is remote. For this purpose, materiality has been defined as "the magnitude of an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would have been changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement."

An independent auditor's objective in an audit is to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to provide a reasonable basis for forming an opinion on the financial statements. In doing so, the auditor must work within economic limits; the opinion, to be economically useful, must be formed within a reasonable length of time and at reasonable cost. That is why an auditor's work is based on selected tests rather that an attempt to verify all transactions. Since evidence is examined on a test basis only, an audit provides only reasonable assurance, rather than absolute assurance, that financial statements are free of material misstatement. Thus, there is a risk that audited financial statements may contain undiscovered material errors or fraud. The existence of that risk is implicit in the phrase in the audit report, "in our opinion."

#### **Internal Controls**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Southeast Macomb Sanitary District for the year ended June 30, 2004, we considered the District's internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control. However, we noted one matter involving the internal control and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the District's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

Segregation of accounting duties is a fundamental control activity that separates the duties of authorization, recordkeeping, and custody of assets. Incompatible functions place a person in the position to both perpetrate and conceal errors or fraud in the normal course of his or her duties. Due to its limited staff size, the District does not have an adequate segregation of accounting duties. We consider the lack of segregation of duties to be a reportable condition.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, we do not believe that the reportable condition noted above is a material weakness.



As always, we thank the Board for the opportunity to serve. We would be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding the annual financial report, and would be pleased to discuss the above comments and recommendations with you at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

Plante & Moran, PLLC

Kevin J. Conte